

**Teaching Scholar Fellowship Program Scoring Rubric**

<b>Criteria</b>	<b>Comprehensively Fulfills Requirement</b>	<b>Generally Fulfills Requirement</b>	<b>Minimally Fulfills Requirement</b>	<b>Does Not Fulfill Requirement</b>
<b>1. Provides description of the project focused on enhancing teaching and student learning.</b>	Clearly and thoroughly defines a project that is focused on teaching and learning enhancement.  <b>6 points</b>	Minor gaps in clarity or content pertaining to the description of the project.  <b>4 points</b>	Significant gaps in clarity or pertaining to the description of the project.  <b>2 points</b>	Gross gaps in clarity or content pertaining to the description of the project.  <b>0 points</b>
<b>2. Explains specifically how you expect this project will enhance your teaching and will enhance learning for your students.</b>	Clearly and thoroughly explains how the project will enhance your teaching and student learning.  <b>6 points</b>	Explains how the project will enhance teaching and learning, but with some minor gaps in clarity or content of information.  <b>4 points</b>	One of the required elements is missing and/or significant gaps in clarity or content of information.  <b>2 point</b>	Both of the required elements are missing or gross gaps in clarity or content of information.  <b>0 points</b>
<b>3. Discusses a plan to evaluate or assess the impact of the project on your teaching and student learning</b>	The plan of assessment or evaluation is clear, thorough, and feasible.  <b>3 points</b>	Explains the plan of assessment or evaluation, but with some minor gaps in clarity, thoroughness, and/or feasibility.  <b>2 points</b>	Explains the plan of assessment or evaluation, but with significant gaps in clarity, thoroughness, and/or feasibility.  <b>1 points</b>	The required element is missing or there are gross gaps in clarity, thoroughness, and/or feasibility.  <b>0 points</b>
<b>4. Explains how this project will enhance own learning, professional development, and scholarship and the relationship of the project to specific goals in your professional development plan</b>	Clearly and thoroughly explains how the project will enhance own learning/development and scholarship and is connected to PDP.  <b>3 points</b>	Explains how the project will enhance own learning and scholarship, but with some gaps in clarity or content of information and vaguely connected to PDP.  <b>2 points</b>	Explains how the project will enhance own learning or scholarship, but with significant gaps in clarity or content of information; not well connected to PDP.  <b>1 points</b>	This element is missing or there are gross gaps in clarity or content of information.  <b>0 points</b>

<b>Criteria</b>	<b>Comprehensively Fulfills Requirement</b>	<b>Generally Fulfills Requirement</b>	<b>Minimally Fulfills Requirement</b>	<b>Does Not Fulfill Requirement</b>
<b>5. Provides a detailed timetable of specific activities for the six weeks of the project.</b>	The 6-week timetable of activities for the project is clear, thorough, and feasible.  <b>3 points</b>	The 6-week timetable of activities for the project has some minor gaps in clarity, thoroughness, or feasibility, but does not greatly detract from understanding the general time table of activities.  <b>2 points</b>	The 6-week time table of activities is provided, but with significant gaps in clarity, thoroughness and feasibility.  <b>1 points</b>	The 6-week timetable of activities is grossly lacking in detail, clarity, thoroughness and feasibility or was not included.  <b>0 points</b>
<b>6. Adherence to format fellowship guidelines</b> (No more than 4 single-spaced pages, 1-inch margins, 12-point font, each numbered and carrying the project title.)	Applicant adhered to all format guidelines.  <b>3 points</b>	Applicant adhered to most of the format guidelines.  <b>2 points</b>	Applicant adhered to some of the format guidelines.  <b>1 point</b>	Applicant adhered to few the format guidelines.  <b>0 points</b>

<b>IRB. Description of use of human subjects.</b>	Explains the use of human subjects and application to IRB.  <b>Yes</b>	Project uses human subjects, but does not explain the use of human subjects or IRB application.  <b>No</b>	<b>Does not apply to this Project.</b>  <b>NA</b>
---	--	--	---