### Purpose of Workshop

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scope project</th>
<th>to be included in a Design Build RFP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Review Program Needs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site Analysis</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concept Plans</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Workshop Process

Second Workshop

- Present Preferred Scheme Revisions
- Solicit Comment
- Revise Schemes Accordingly
- Provide Final Report
The Department of Residential Life facilitates students’ academic and personal success by providing educational opportunities and convenient accommodations.
Student Maturation

Freshmen

Sophomores

Juniors / Seniors
MSU On-Campus Unit Types by Class

- Freshmen 70%
- Sophomores 15%
- Juniors 8%
- Seniors 7%

- Traditional – 95% $$
- Semi-Suite – 5% $$
- Suite $$$$
- Apartments $$$$
MSU On-Campus VISION (Peers?)

- Freshmen ?%
- Sophomores ?%
- Juniors ?%
- Seniors ?%

- Traditional – 95% (£)
- Semi-Suite – 5% (£)
- Suite (£)
- Apartments (££££)

MSU RESIDENCE PRECINCT STUDY
AGE APPROPRIATE UNITS – Customized Solutions

Semi – suite
225-250 gsf/bed

Traditional Double
200-225 gsf/bed

Suite 275-300 gsf/bed

Apartment 325-350 gsf/bed
Campus Plan – Short Term
Campus Plan – Long Term
Campus Plan Master – Current Considerations

Not building Residence Halls on Athletic Fields

4 Storey Ramp vs. Business School Site

City/County Pedestrian Bridge

Renovating Crawford D Hall rather than demolition – proposed parking lots and access road no longer planned

Wind Turbines

Observatory

Field Relocations

Allied Health Outreach Clinic

Trafton Science Renovation/Addition

Land Acquisition
Not building Residence Halls on Athletic Fields
4 Storey Ramp vs. Business School Site
City/County Pedestrian Bridge
Renovation of Crawford D Hall rather than demolition – proposed parking lots and access road no longer planned
Wind Turbines
Observatory
Field Relocations
Allied Health Outreach Clinic
Trafton Science Renovation/Addition
Land Acquisition
Scheme 1
Scheme 1

Pros
Creates image to Stadium Road
Phased demo to maintain bed count
Responds to bridge concept
Responds to parking ramp concept
Extends MP “Green Boulevard”
Parking convenient to housing
Scheme 1

**Cons**

- Cost of commons/food service
- Distance to campus
- Phased construction premiums
- Phased demo premiums (unfunded)
- Distance from other housing
- Mixing of sophs with jrs/snrs “off campus”
- Softball relocation funding?
- Land use driven by existing Gage
- Unknown site improvements/cost
- First Year students split on campus
Scheme 1
Full Build Out
Scheme 1

Phase 1

Build 600 Beds and Commons
Scheme 1

Phase 2

Demo Tower A and Commons
Scheme 1
Phase 3
Build 600 Beds
Scheme 1
*Phase 4*

Demo Tower B
Scheme 1
Full Build Out
Complete Landscape & Parking
Scheme 2

Pros
Soph’s proximity to first year
Soph's separated from jrs/srs
Soph’s proximity to campus
Reduces Stadium Rd. crossing
Supports Student Center
Jrs / Srs @ campus perimeter
Minimizes construction impact
Easier demo
Rugby Pitch easily relocated
Can separate into 2 - 600 Bed RFP’s
Scheme 2

Cons
Reallocates Parking (neg?)
Relationship to Master Plan Axis
Academic site?
Fuel Tank Access/Location
Scheme 2  
*Phase 2 Option A*

Build 600 Beds and Landscape @ Gage Site  
(No Gage Demo Required)
Scheme 2
Phase 2 Option B

Build 600 Beds and Landscape on Sunken Lot Site
Scheme 2

Phase 2 Option C

Build 600 Beds and Landscape on McElroy & Crawford Parking Lot
Scheme 2
(Original)
Build 600 Beds
Scheme 2
(Revised)

Housing & Commons Expansion
(600 Beds or more?)